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Rehydration with soft drink-like beverages exacerbates dehydration and worsens dehydration-associated renal injury
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A MAJOR EPIDEMIC of chronic kidney disease is occurring in Central America among workers in the sugarcane fields. To date there have been reported to be over 20,000 deaths. While the etiology is unknown, most studies suggest that recurrent dehydration is a major risk factor (10, 15, 24, 32).

Subjects working in hot environments tend to lose both salt and water, but usually have a greater loss of water, leading to transient hyperosmolality. Hyperosmolality activates two major systems: vasopressin release from the posterior pituitary and the aldose reductase enzyme. Vasopressin has been shown to drive low grade renal injury and to exacerbate chronic kidney disease in laboratory animals (8). Likewise, the aldose reductase system converts glucose to sorbitol, which can then be converted to fructose, which is a substrate for fructokinase in the proximal tubule (11). In turn, the metabolism of fructose in the proximal tubule can result in tubular injury and the release of oxidants and inflammatory mediators (11, 28). Indeed, we recently reported that recurrent heat-associated dehydration could lead to chronic kidney disease in mice due to endogenous generation of fructose in the kidney from the aldose reductase pathway, and this was prevented in mice unable to metabolize fructose (fructokinase-knockout mice) (34).

The observation that fructose plays a role in dehydration-associated renal injury raises major concerns that rehydration with sugary beverages that contain fructose might worsen rather than help dehydration-associated renal injury. Furthermore, fructose has a peculiar ability to stimulate vasopressin release in humans that is not observed with other sugars such as glucose (42).

We therefore utilized a model of heat-induced dehydration to test the hypothesis that brief (2 h) rehydration with a soft drink beverage (consisting of 7.15% fructose-3.85% glucose similar to standard soft drinks) might worsen renal injury compared with rehydration with water or stevia containing water. Our studies raise serious concerns for the common practice, especially among adolescents and young adults, to drink soft drinks as a means to quench thirst following an episode of dehydration.

METHODS

Ethical Approval

This investigation was performed in accordance with the “Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals” published by the National Institutes of Health, and the Mexican Federal Regulation for animal experimentation and care (NOM-062-ZOO-2001) and for the disposal of biological residues (NOM-087-ECOL-1995).

Reagents

Chemicals were of reagent or higher grade from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) unless otherwise specified. Antinuclear neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (N-GAL), antinephrin, antivasopressin V1a receptor, anti-superoxide dismutase (SOD)-1, anticalcatalase, and anti-GPx anti-antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotech-
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Evaluation of Markers of Tubular Damage

For the determination of N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase (NAG) activity in urine samples, 4-nitrophenyl-N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminide was used as a substrate.

Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) expression, a sensitive marker of renal proximal tubule damage (25), was evaluated by Western blotting. Renal cortex proteins were extracted using a mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase lysis buffer, as previously described (33), and incubated with a primary antibody against NGAL (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at 4°C overnight, using β-actin antibody (Cell Signaling) as load control.

Renal Cortex Content of Fructose and Uric Acid

Fructose was extracted from cortical renal tissue by perchloric acid precipitation, and its concentration was measured by the anthrone-based colorimetric method (18). Uric acid (UA) is a by product of fructose catabolism in tissues expressing KHK and is associated with its detrimental effects (21). Therefore, tissue UA was measured both as a marker of renal damage and also as a surrogate of fructose increased metabolism. UA was extracted as previously described (11). UA was measured using Amplex Red assay kit (Life Technologies). Fructose and UA concentrations were normalized by protein concentration.

Renal Cortex Markers of Oxidative Stress

Tissue was homogenized in phosphate buffer containing a cocktail of protease inhibitors. Protein carbonyls and lipid peroxidation (4-hydroxynonenal, 4-HNE) were measured using previously published methods (27, 39) and normalized by protein concentration.

NOX4, catalase, glutathione peroxidase, and superoxide dismutase-I protein expression. Renal cortex proteins were extracted using a MAP kinase lysis buffer, as previously described (22). Each of the following primary antibodies were incubated at 4°C overnight: anti-NOX4 (GeneTex, Irvine, CA), anticalase, -GPx, and anti-SOD-1. Protein loading was controlled with and anti-β-actin antibody (Cell Signaling). Chemiluminescence was captured using Clarity horseradish peroxidase chemiluminescence kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) and exposure of membranes over X-ray film inside a standard developing cassette. Film was developed manually and exposure was repeated varying the time as needed for optimal detection; thereafter the film was scanned. Blots were recorded, and densitometry was performed using the Image Studio Lite Software (Licoir, Lincoln, NE).

Aldose reductase, KHK, and vasopressin V1a and V2 receptors protein expression by Western blot analysis. Renal cortex proteins were extracted using a MAP kinase lysis buffer, as previously described (33). Each of the following primary antibodies were incubated at 4°C overnight: anti-KHK (GeneTex, Irvine, CA), anticalase reductase (GeneTex), antivasopressin V1a receptor (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), antivasopressin V2 receptor (Abcam), and anti-β-actin antibody (Cell Signaling). Chemiluminescence was recorded and quantified using the Image Studio Lite Software (Biotech, Lincoln, NE).

Histological Analysis

Fixed renal tissue was embedded in paraffin and processed accordingly. The evaluation was performed blinded. Sections were stained with periodic acid-Schiff’s stain (PAS). Glomerular changes (glomerulosclerosis or hypoperfusion as evidenced by wrinkling and collapse of the glomeruli) were qualitatively evaluated. Tubulointerstitial cellular infiltration was studied in PAS-stained sections taking advantage that the nucleus of inflammatory cells is stained in dark blue. The number of inflammatory cells were quantified in 20 nonoverlapping fields at ×400 magnification and expressed as positive cells in 20 fields.
Values are expressed as means ± SD. One-way ANOVA determined significant differences between groups. When the ANOVA P value was <0.05, posttest comparisons were made using Sidak’s multiple-comparison test assuming an αPF (per family of tests) = 0.05. Each computed P value was adjusted to account for six multiple comparisons per family. The possible relationship between variables was tested by correlation analysis. Statistical analysis was performed with Prism version 6.05 (Graph Pad Software, San Diego, CA).

RESULTS

Dehydration Protocol

The central hypothesis of this study was that rehydration with fructose/glucose concentrations similar to that observed in soft drinks might accelerate heat-induced dehydration renal injury. Heat-induced dehydrations was performed by exposure of rats daily to heat (1 h at 36°C) followed by 2 h of ad libitum rehydration with either water or a fructose-glucose (FG) solution similar in composition to that present in soft drinks. The rest of the 24-h period all groups only received water for fluid intake. Because rats drank more FG solution than regular water, we also included a group given stevia water, as rats drank the same amount of stevia water as FG water thus providing a control for fluid intake following the dehydration procedure. These three groups were then compared with normal control rats that were not exposed to heat.

Effects on Weight and Fluid Intake

Heat-induced dehydration resulted in equivalent mean daily body weight loss among the three groups (water, stevia, and FG) at the end of the heat period (Table 1). During the 2-h ad libitum rehydration period, rats administered FG and stevia water drank more fluid (~30%) compared with water alone. However, total 24-h water intake was similar among the three groups (Table 1), although it was higher than the normal control group.

Table 1. Fluid consumption, plasma and urine parameters, and systolic blood pressure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Normal Control (n = 5)</th>
<th>Heat-Induced Dehydration</th>
<th>W (n = 6)</th>
<th>FG (n = 7)</th>
<th>S (n = 7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beverage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean fluid drunk during rehydration, ml/2 h</td>
<td>24 ± 0.3</td>
<td>9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>12 ± 1.1B</td>
<td>11 ± 0.9B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BW loss after HD, % BW</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean total fluid consumption, ml/24 h</td>
<td>33 ± 1.0A</td>
<td>34 ± 0.9A</td>
<td>33 ± 1.3A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea, mg/dl</td>
<td>20 ± 2</td>
<td>22 ± 3</td>
<td>24 ± 2.1</td>
<td>21 ± 1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine, mg/dl</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.13A,B</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.08C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructose, µg/ml</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.20</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.04A,B</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.04B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA, mg/dl</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.05B,C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine flow, µl/min</td>
<td>15 ± 6</td>
<td>28 ± 6A</td>
<td>19 ± 4B</td>
<td>9 ± 3B,C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea, mg/18 h</td>
<td>166 ± 24</td>
<td>437 ± 131A</td>
<td>652 ± 106A,B</td>
<td>141 ± 60B,C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine, mg/18 h</td>
<td>11 ± 2</td>
<td>10 ± 2</td>
<td>11 ± 1</td>
<td>11.9 ± 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructose, µg/18 h</td>
<td>21 ± 9</td>
<td>41 ± 11A</td>
<td>30 ± 7</td>
<td>13 ± 5B,C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNa, meq/18 h</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1.81 ± 0.32A</td>
<td>4.91 ± 1.21A,B</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.12B,C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CrCl, ml/min</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.06A,B</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.15C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FENA, %</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.087 ± 0.16A</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.1A,B</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.05C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP, mmHg</td>
<td>116 ± 7</td>
<td>143 ± 3A</td>
<td>139 ± 7A</td>
<td>120 ± 7B,C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SD; n, number of rats. BW, body weight; SBP, systolic blood pressure; CrCl, creatinine clearance; UNa, sodium excretion. FENA, fractional sodium excretion. Statistical comparisons: A vs. normal control. B vs. Water. C vs. FG.
significantly increased plasma creatinine and decreased Cr clearance (Table 1).

We evaluated two markers of proximal tubule damage: NAG urine excretion and the expression of NGAL. In rats that received FG as rehydration fluid there were significant increments in the excretion of NAG in urine and in NGAL renal cortex expression. Rehydration with stevia prevented those changes (Fig. 2A).

We also evaluated whether renal structural damage was already present. Dehydrated rats with FG rehydration had significantly more glomerular hypoperfusion and glomerulosclerosis compared with water and stevia rehydration (Fig. 2B). Mild tubulointerstitial inflammation was also observed in the group that received FG.

These data document that heat-induced dehydration is associated with both structural and urinary biomarker evidence of renal injury and this is worsened with hydration using FG solutions.

Potential Mechanisms for Renal Injury: Aldose Reductase-Fructokinase-Uric Acid Pathway and the Vasopressin Pathways

We also measured uric acid and fructose as both may be induced by dehydration [by renal retention of uric acid coupled with increased generation of both fructose and uric acid via the aldose reductase pathway (34)]. As expected, heat-induced dehydration was associated with a numerical rise in plasma uric acid and a significant rise in urine fructose, although no change in plasma fructose from normal controls was observed (Table 1). In contrast, rehydration with FG was associated with similar rise in plasma uric acid as control heat-dehydrated rats, but with higher plasma levels, despite that urine levels were found similar to water rehydrated rats. Stevia-treated rats showed lower plasma uric acid and comparable levels of plasma and urine fructose as control rats.

In renal cortex of rats that received water as rehydration fluid, concentrations of fructose and uric acid were not different compared with normal control rats (Fig. 3). On the contrary, rats that received FG during rehydration period, a significant increment in the concentration of fructose and uric acid were observed. In stevia-rehydrated groups, fructose and uric acid renal concentrations remained comparable to water rehydrated and control groups (Fig. 3).

As activation of fructokinase-uric acid pathway is associated with increased oxidative stress via activation of NOX4 (23, 35, 37, 44), we also evaluated lipid peroxidation and protein oxidation in renal cortex (Fig. 3). Heat-dehydrated rats that received water as rehydration fluid showed a slight but significant increment in lipid peroxidation and protein oxidation in renal cortex (Fig. 3). The increased content of fructose and uric acid induced by rehydration with FG was associated with a significant augmentation in oxidative stress as noted by a further increase in lipid peroxidation and protein oxidation. Stevia rehydration was associated with lower oxidative stress compared with FG groups. In agreement with the increment in oxidative stress observed in dehydrated rats rehydrated with FG beverage, we also observed significant overexpression of NOX4 as well as the antioxidant enzymes catalase, glutathione peroxidase (GPx), and SOD-1 in the kidneys (Fig. 3).

We also evaluated the renal cortex expression of the enzymes involved in fructose-uric acid pathway and vasopressin receptors (Fig. 4). Rats that the received water as rehydration fluid showed a slight but significant increment in the expression of aldose reductase in renal cortex. In parallel to the further increment in cortex fructose, uric acid and oxidative stress, the group of dehydrated animals that received FG for rehydration showed a significant increment in the expression of fructokinase, vasopressin receptors 1a and 2, and a further increase in the expression of aldose reductase. In contrast, rats that received stevia did not showed those changes (Fig. 4).
DISCUSSION

In this study, we tested the hypothesis that the type of rehydration solution might influence renal outcomes associated with recurrent mild dehydration. Specifically, we hypothesized that short-term rehydration with sugary beverages containing fructose might have adverse effects on the kidney. To test this hypothesis, we performed studies in a model of thermal dehydration and compared water, stevia-containing water, and a solution of fructose-glucose that is similar in composition to standard soft drinks. The present study shows that short-term rehydration with a FG sugary beverage after a mild dehydration stimulates the two systems that have been implicated in kidney injury, i.e., vasopressin (1) and aldose reductase-fructokinase activities (34). After rehydration with a fructose-rich beverage, we observed a greater renal oxidative stress and mild renal injury (glomerular and tubular alterations). In contrast, rehydration with plain water or with the noncaloric edulcorant stevia did not produce such deleterious effects.

Fructose is a substrate for fructokinase that is present in the proximal tubule, and a 60% fructose diet in rats can induce modest tubular injury (11, 28). Fructose infusion in humans...
can also stimulate vasopressin release, whereas an equimolar solution of glucose does not (42). Our basic hypothesis was that recurrent stimulation of these pathways might induce renal disease and that hydration with fructose-containing solutions could increase vasopressin release and provide a substrate for fructokinase that might lead to further renal damage.

The type of rehydration fluid had significant effects on most of the outcomes. The administration of FG but not that of ST as rehydration fluid resulted in a further and significant increment in plasma copeptin. Moreover, rats continued to show signs of dehydration with higher urine osmolality and increased free water reabsorption, likely due in part to increased urinary sodium excretion observed in the FG-rehydrated rats. The evidence for worse dehydration despite increased fluid intake compared with the water-only group was striking. The consequence was also a greater renal oxidative stress, renal tubule injury, and subtle inflammatory infiltration. An additional marker of renal impairment in fructose-rehydrated rats was a significant fall in creatinine clearance. This finding suggests that glomerular filtration was reduced in this condition. However, it cannot be excluded that the observed differences in the creatinine clearances are due to different renal handling of creatinine by organic anion and/or cation transporters (16, 40).

Fig. 3. Renal cortex fructose and uric acid and markers of oxidative stress: lipid peroxidation (4-hydroxynonenal, 4-HNE), protein oxidation, NOX-4, superoxide dismutase-1 (SOD-1), catalase, and glutathione peroxidase (GPx) protein expression in mildly dehydrated animals induced by heat exposure in animals rehydrated for 2 h with water (W), an 11% fructose-glucose beverage (FG), and water sweetened with the noncaloric edulcorant stevia (ST). Statistical comparisons: A vs. normal control; B vs. water; C vs. FG.
An effect of a beverage containing simple sugars as replacement fluid was a significant increase in the accumulation of fructose and uric acid in the renal cortex. In previous studies, we and others have reported that tissue accumulation of uric acid is associated with augmented oxidative stress and damage (12, 26, 35). The results of the present studies are in agreement with those previous works.

Dehydration tended to increase systemic blood pressure in W and FG- but not in ST-rehydrated rats. This effect may be a consequence of increased renal oxidative stress in those groups (41). Whether this adverse influence of the rehydration fluid on SBP participated in kidney damage deserves further investigation.

We have previously shown that recurrent exposure to heat can induce renal injury through a fructokinase-dependent mechanism. In that study, the dehydration procedure was severe (34). We have also shown that a 60% fructose diet induces renal damage in the course of 8 wk (36). Moreover, rats that received a similar FG beverage ad libitum developed mild renal damage; however, those animals ingested ~80 ml of this fluid per day (39) in contrast to the present study in which rats had a limited consumption of this sweetened beverage (12 ml/day). It was also observed that rats that received a similar daily amount of fructose/glucose beverage (14 ml/day) but without dehydration did not develop renal alterations (data not shown). Therefore, the power of the current study is that the renal injury was found even with very mild recurrent dehydration when short-term rehydration with fructose-containing beverages was provided.

An interesting finding in this study was that dehydration was associated with increased urinary sodium excretion and that this was worsened by the rehydration with FG. Although volume contraction is known to cause a prerenal state with a decrease in fractional excretion of sodium, dehydration-induced hyperosmolality can cause a mild natriuresis (known as dehydration natriuresis) (13). The signaling mechanisms (Sgk1 and TonEBP) involved in causing dehydration-induced natriuresis are the same as those known to stimulate the aldose reductase-fructokinase pathway and thus may account for the potentiation of this mechanism with the FG solutions (13, 43). It was also observed a significant increase in urinary urea excretion in FG-rehydrated animals. We do not have a definite explanation for this effect; however, a mechanism that might contribute to increased urea excretion could be an overall increase in proteolytic activity induced by dehydration (9).

Thus cellular dehydration is believed to be a driving force.

Fig. 4. Renal cortex aldose-reductase, fructokinase (KHK), vasopressin V1a and V2 receptors expressions in mildly dehydrated animals induced by heat exposure in animals rehydrated for 2 h with water (W), an 11% fructose-glucose beverage (FG), and water sweetened with the noncaloric edulcorant stevia (ST). Statistical comparisons: A vs. normal control; B vs. water; C vs. FG.
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behind the severe protein wasting observed within the liver and skeletal muscles of extremely ill patients (19).

There is evidence that water intake is decreasing in the general population (20). Taking into account that, at present, a common practice is to rehydrate with sugary beverages when the threshold of mild dehydration has been reached (a situation in which vasopressin secretion is already increased), these findings might provide evidence for a pathophysiological mechanism that partially explain the association between sugary beverages consumption and renal damage (7, 14, 38).

Finally, stevia solution used as rehydration fluid prevented the rise in vasopressin secretion and preserved plasma and urine osmolality to normal levels. In addition, stevia-rehydrated animals had normal blood pressure and no evidence of renal tubule damage. It is not possible to know if this protective effect is due to a significantly higher volume ingested for rehydration in the stevia groups than in the plain water groups or if it is an effect induced by stevia itself.

In conclusion, this study shows that short-term rehydration with fructose-containing beverages in rats undergoing mild recurrent dehydration results in enhanced renal injury in association with greater stimulation of the vasopressin and polyol-fructokinase pathways. The simultaneous triggering of both systems was associated with increased urinary concentration, oxidative stress, renal injury, and systemic hypertension. On the other hand, increased ingestion of fluids devoid of simple sugars (plain water or stevia solution) prevented the stimulation of vasopressin induced by mild dehydration.

Perspectives and Significance

This study is relevant to the epidemic of chronic kidney disease (CKD) in Central America, in which sugarcane and other workers are developing CDKs associated with recurrent heat-associated dehydration. However, it may also be an important factor in the high frequency of CKD that is occurring in hot climates such as Mexico and the southern United States. Further studies investigating the mechanisms involved in this injurious process are warranted in the future.
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